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Report of Voting Rights Exercised at the 78th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders

Resolved proposals and exercised voting right results were as follows at the 78th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders (the “Meeting”) of OMRON Corporation (the “Company”) on June 23, 2015.

1. Proposals resolved

   No. 1 Dividends from Retained Earnings
         Resolved on an ordinary dividend of 40 yen per share as originally proposed by the Company.

   No. 2 Election of Eight (8) Directors
         Messrs. Fumio Tateishi, Yoshihito Yamada, Yoshinori Suzuki, Akio Sakumiya, Koji Nitto, Kazuhiko Toyama and Eizo Kobayashi were reelected, and Ms. Kuniko Nishikawa was elected, and assumed office as Directors, as originally proposed by the Company.

   No. 3 Election of Two (2) Audit & Supervisory Board Members
         Mr. Tokio Kawashima was reelected, and Mr.Kiichiro Kondo was elected as Audit & Supervisory Board Members as originally proposed by the Company.

   No. 4 Election of One (1) Alternate Audit & Supervisory Board Member
         Mr. Toru Watanabe was elected as Alternate Audit & Supervisory Board Member as originally proposed by the Company.

   No. 5 Payment of Yearly Performance-Linked Bonuses to Directors
         Yearly Performance-Linked Bonuses to be paid to five (5) Directors, excluding Directors (Independent), was resolved as originally proposed by the Company.
2. Number of voting rights concerning the indication of “for,” “against” or “abstain” for each proposal; Requirements for approving the proposals; Results of resolutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal No.</th>
<th>For (a)</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Abstain</th>
<th>Total number of shareholders' voting rights exercised (b)</th>
<th>Ratio of Approval (a/b)</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Ratio of Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposal No. 1</td>
<td>1,718,118</td>
<td>15,210</td>
<td>1,292</td>
<td>43,753</td>
<td>1,778,373</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal No. 2</td>
<td>1,715,130</td>
<td>16,166</td>
<td>3,324</td>
<td>43,753</td>
<td>1,778,373</td>
<td>96.4%</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal No. 3</td>
<td>1,705,098</td>
<td>26,196</td>
<td>3,324</td>
<td>43,753</td>
<td>1,778,373</td>
<td>95.9%</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal No. 4</td>
<td>1,707,552</td>
<td>23,742</td>
<td>3,324</td>
<td>43,753</td>
<td>1,778,373</td>
<td>96.0%</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal No. 5</td>
<td>1,729,530</td>
<td>3,806</td>
<td>1,284</td>
<td>43,753</td>
<td>1,778,373</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note )
(1) Requirements for the approval of each proposal are as follows:
- Proposal No. 1 and 5: Approval by the majority of voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting).
- Proposal No. 2, 3 and 4: Approval by the majority of voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting) in a vote of shareholders holding at least one-third of the total number of voting rights.
(2) Ratio of approval was calculated by the confirmed number of “for” of voting rights for each proposal divided by the total number of voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting).
(3) (Reference) Ratio of approval was calculated by the confirmed number of “against” of voting rights for each proposal divided by the total number of voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting).

3. Reason why a portion of the voting rights held by the shareholders present at the Meeting was not added to the number of voting rights
Because the required majority approval for each proposal was met by aggregating the number of voting rights exercised prior to the Meeting and the number of voting rights of certain shareholders present at the Meeting, a portion of the number of voting rights of the shareholders present at the Meeting, whose indication of “for,” “against” or “abstain” as to each proposal is not confirmed, is not included in the count.
The denominator for calculating the ratio of approval includes the number of shareholders’ voting rights present at the Meeting whose indication of “for,” “against” or “abstain” is not confirmed.